

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/634,076	08/04/2003	Robert Dean Irwin	DN2002154	5237	
27280	7590 06/16/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
THE GOODYEAR TIRE & RUBBER COMPANY INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT 823			HAMILTON	HAMILTON, ISAAC N	
	MARKET STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
AKRON, O	H 44316-0001	•	3724		
			DATE MAN ED OCH COOK	-	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summan	10/634,076	IRWIN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Isaac N. Hamilton	3724				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a really within the statutory minimum of thirt will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON e. cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 h	March 2005.					
<u> </u>	_					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowed	ance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 4-10</u> is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5)□ Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 4-10</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 August 2003</u> is/are:		iected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		•				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 	·	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
		onlination No				
2. Certified copies of the priority documen3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority	prity documents have been	· 				
application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list		en active d				
See the attached detailed Office action for a list	tor the certified copies not	received.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)				
 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08))/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				

Application/Control Number: 10/634,076

Art Unit: 3724

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings were received on 08/04/03. These drawings are acceptable.

Specification

2. Objections to the abstract are hereby withdrawn.

Claim Objections

3. Objections to the claims are hereby withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

5. Claims 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 9 recites the limitation "the radial axis of the tire" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 10 recites the limitation "the radial axis of the tire" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

Art Unit: 3724

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2 and 4-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stashko (4,934,880) in view of Gardner (2,133,613). Stashko discloses cutting head in figures 2 and 3; first axis of rotation 20; blade mounting surfaces 45; blades 60; cavities 40; high speed motor is inherent in an end mill tool; each surface 45 is separated by 60 degrees, which means every alternate surface is 120 degrees apart from the last; distance d is between 20 and 45. Stashko does not disclose a second axis of rotation, however, Gardner teaches second axis of rotation in column 4, lines 13-21. It would have been obvious to provide a second axis of rotation in Stashko as taught by Gardner in order to bore angular holes. Further note that the movement of the cutting head in column 4, lines 13-21, satisfy the limitations of rotatable and pivotable in claims 9 and 10.

Stashko discloses the claimed invention except for a distance d being less than 1 inch and d is 0.5 inches. It would have been an obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the elements mentioned above for the purpose of maximizing cutting efficiency for different materials, such as, plastic, paper, rubber, wood, cardboard, and metal. It has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. Such a modification would have involved a mere change in the size of a component. A change in size is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art.

8. Claims 1, 2 and 4-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Getts (3,213,716). Getts discloses cutting head 10; first axis of rotation A; blade mounting surfaces 17; blades in figure 4; cavities in figure 7, wherein chips 29 are located; high speed motor is inherent in boring machines; 120 degrees in figure 2; distance d is between A and 17;

Art Unit: 3724

conical in shape 25, 22; largest diameter cutting edge juxtaposed 25 and 22. Getts does not disclose a second axis of rotation, however, Gardner teaches second axis of rotation in column 4, lines 13-21. It would have been obvious to provide a second axis of rotation in Getts as taught by Gardner in order to bore angular holes. Further note that the movement of the cutting head in column 4, lines 13-21, satisfy the limitations of rotatable and pivotable in claims 9 and 10.

Getts discloses the claimed invention except for a distance d being less than 1 inch, d is 0.5 inches, and an edge diameter of 0.75 inches. It would have been an obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the elements mentioned above for the purpose of maximizing cutting efficiency for different materials, such as, plastic, paper, rubber, wood, cardboard, and metal. It has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. Such a modification would have involved a mere change in the size of a component. A change in size is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

Art Unit: 3724

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Isaac Hamilton whose telephone number is 571-272-4509. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday between 8am and 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan N. Shoap can be reached on 571-272-4514. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ÌΉ

June 13, 2005

Allan N. Shōap Supervisory Patent Examiner Group 3700